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#### Abstract

In this talk basic general properties of $f$-divergences, including their axiomatic, and some important classes of $f$-divergences are presented.

Without essential loss of insight we restrict ourselves to discrete probability distributions and note that the extension to the general case relies strongly on the Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym Theorem.


This talk was presented while participating in a workshop of the Research Group in Mathematical Inequalities and Applications at the Victoria University, Melbourne, Australia, in October 2002.

## 1 BASIC NOTIONS

Let $\Omega=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ be a set with at least two elements, $\mathfrak{P}(\Omega)$ the set of all subsets of $\Omega$ and $\mathcal{P}$ the set of all probability distributions $P=(p(x): x \in$ $\Omega)$ on $\Omega$.

A pair $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{P}^{2}$ of probability distributions is called a (simple versus simple) testing problem.

Two probability distributions $P$ and $Q$ are called orthogonal ( $P \perp Q$ ) if there exists an element $A \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ such that $P(A)=Q\left(A^{c}\right)=0$ where $A^{c}=$ $\Omega \backslash A$.

A testing problem $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{P}^{2}$ is called least infomative if $P=Q$ and is called most informative if $P \perp Q$.

Furthermore, let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of convex functions $f:[0, \infty) \mapsto(-\infty, \infty]$ continuous at 0 (i.e. $\left.f(0)=\lim _{u \downarrow 0} f(u)\right), \mathcal{F}_{0}=\{f \in \mathcal{F}: f(1)=0\}$ and let $D_{-} f$ and $D_{+} f$ denote the left-hand side and right-hand side derivative of $f$, respectively. Further let $f^{*} \in \mathcal{F}$, defined by

$$
f^{*}(u)=u f\left(\frac{1}{u}\right), u \in(0, \infty)
$$

the $*$-conjugate (convex) function of $f$, let a function $f \in \mathcal{F}$ satisfying $f^{*} \equiv f$ be called $*$-self conjugate and let $\tilde{f}=f+f^{*}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \cdot f^{*}\left(\frac{x}{0}\right)=x \cdot f\left(\frac{0}{x}\right)=x \cdot f(0) \quad \text { for } \quad x \in(0, \infty) \\
& 0 \cdot f\left(\frac{y}{0}\right)=y \cdot f^{*}\left(\frac{0}{y}\right)=y \cdot f^{*}(0) \quad \text { for } \quad y \in(0, \infty) \\
& 0 \cdot f\left(\frac{0}{0}\right)=0 \cdot f^{*}\left(\frac{0}{0}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition (Csiszár (1963), Ali \& Silvey (1966)): Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$. Then

$$
I_{f}(Q, P)=\sum_{x \in \Omega} p(x) f\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right)
$$

is called the $f$-divergence of the probability distributions $Q$ and $P$.
Remark 1: Because of $p(x) f\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right)=q(x) f^{*}\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right) \quad \forall x \in \Omega$ it holds

$$
I_{f}(Q, P)=I_{f^{*}}(P, Q) \quad \forall(P, Q) \in \mathcal{P}^{2}
$$

EXAMPLES: Total Variation Distance $\left(f(u)=|u-1|=f^{*}(u)\right)$

$$
I_{f}(Q, P)=\sum_{x \in \Omega} p(x)\left|\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}-1\right|=\sum_{x \in \Omega}|q(x)-p(x)|
$$

$\chi^{2}$-Divergence $\left(f(u)=(u-1)^{2}, f^{*}(u)=\frac{(u-1)^{2}}{u}\right)$

$$
I_{f}(Q, P)=\sum_{x \in \Omega} p(x)\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}-1\right)^{2}=\sum_{x \in \Omega} \frac{(q(x)-p(x))^{2}}{p(x)}=I_{f^{*}}(P, Q)
$$

Kullback-Leibler Divergence $\left(f(u)=u \ln (u), f^{*}(u)=-\ln (u)\right)$

$$
I_{f}(Q, P)=\sum_{x \in \Omega} p(x) \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \ln \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right)=\sum_{x \in \Omega} q(x) \ln \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right)=I_{f^{*}}(P, Q)
$$

## 2 BASIC PROPERTIES (Part 1)

Uniqueness Theorem (Liese \& Vajda (1987)): Let $f, f_{1} \in \mathcal{F}$. Then
(1) $I_{f_{1}}(Q, P)=I_{f}(Q, P) \quad \forall(P, Q) \in \mathcal{P}^{2} \quad$ iff
(2) $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}: f_{1}(u)-f(u)=c(u-1)$.

Proof: $\mathbf{( 2 )} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{( 1 )}$ : The $f$-divergence of the function $f_{1}-f$ vanishes because of
$I_{f_{1}-f}(Q, P)=c \sum_{x \in \Omega}(q(x)-p(x))=c\left(\sum_{x \in \Omega} q(x)-\sum_{x \in \Omega} p(x)\right)=c(1-1)=0$.
$\mathbf{( 1 )} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{( 2 ) : ~ F o r ~ t h i s ~ d i r e c t i o n ~ w e ~ r e s t r i c t ~ o u r s e l v e s ~ t o ~ t h e ~ c a s e ~} \tilde{f}(\underset{\tilde{f}}{0})<\infty$. Then the Range of Values Theorem stated below implies $\tilde{f}_{1}(0)=\tilde{f}(0)$ and therefore $c=f_{1}^{*}(0)-f^{*}(0)=f(0)-f_{1}(0)$.
For $u \leq 1$ let $P=(1,0)$ and $Q=(u, 1-u)$. Then (1) implies in view of $I_{f}(Q, P)=f(u)+0 f\left(\frac{1-u}{0}\right)=f(u)+(1-u) f^{*}(0)$

$$
f_{1}(u)-f(u)=(1-u)\left(f^{*}(0)-f_{1}^{*}(0)\right)=c(u-1) .
$$

For $u>1$ let $P=\left(\frac{1}{u}, 1-\frac{1}{u}\right)$ and $Q=(1,0)$. Then (1) implies in view of $I_{f}(Q, P)=\frac{1}{u} f(u)+\left(1-\frac{1}{u}\right) f(0)$

$$
f_{1}(u)-f(u)=(u-1)\left(f(0)-f_{1}(0)\right)=c(u-1)
$$

Remark 2: a) Owing to $(u-1)^{2}=u^{2}-1-2(u-1)$

$$
\chi^{2}(Q, P)=\sum_{x \in \Omega} p(x)\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}-1\right)^{2}=\sum_{x \in \Omega} p(x)\left(\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right)^{2}-1\right)
$$

b) Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $c \in\left[D_{-} f(1), D_{+} f(1)\right]$ then $f_{1}(u)=f(u)-c(u-1)$ satisfies $f_{1}(u) \geq f(1) \quad \forall u \in[0, \infty)$ while not changing the $f$-divergence. Hence we can assume $f(u) \geq f(1) \quad \forall u \in[0, \infty)$ without loss of generality. For theoretical purposes and purposes of unification of specific $f$-divergences it is often convenient to switch to such functions $f$. (See e.g. the making of Class II).

Symmetry Theorem (Liese \& Vajda (1987)): Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $f^{*}$ be its *-conjugate. Then
$I_{f^{*}}(Q, P)=I_{f}(Q, P) \quad \forall(P, Q) \in \mathcal{P}^{2} \quad$ iff $\quad \exists c \in \mathbb{R}: f^{*}(u)-f(u)=c(u-1)$.
In words: An $f$-divergence is symmetric iff - apart from an additional linear term $c(u-1)-f$ is $*$-self conjugate.

Remark 3: a) Obviously the functions $\tilde{f}=f+f^{*}$ and $\tilde{f} / 2$ are $*$-self conjugate. Owing to

$$
\frac{f(u)+f^{*}(u)}{u+1}=\frac{1}{u+1} f(u)+\frac{u}{u+1} f\left(\frac{1}{u}\right) \geq f(1)
$$

it holds $\tilde{f}(u) / 2-f(1) \geq \frac{f(1)}{2}(u-1)$ and hence $f(u)-f(1) \geq \frac{f(1)}{2}(u-$ 1) provided $f$ is $*$-self conjugate.
b) The maximum of $f$ and $f^{*}$, namely $\hat{f}(u)=\max \left(f(u), f^{*}(u)\right)$ is also *-self conjugate. This provides another possibility to obtain a $*$-self conjugate function from a given function $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

Remark 4: Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{f}(Q, P)= & f(0) \cdot P(\{x: q(x)=0\})+f^{*}(0) \cdot Q(\{x: p(x)=0\})+ \\
& +\sum_{x: q(x) \cdot p(x)>0} p(x) f\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and that $P(\{x: q(x)=0\})$ is the amount of singularity of the distribution $P$ with respect to $Q$ and $Q(\{x: p(x)=0\})$ is the amount of singularity of the distribution $Q$ with respect to $P$. Therefore $f(0)=\infty$ and $f^{*}(0)=$ $\infty$ imply $I_{f}(Q, P)=\infty$ unless $\{x \in \Omega: q(x) \cdot p(x)>0\}=\Omega$, i.e. all probabilities are positive.

Range of Values Theorem (Vajda (1972)): It holds

$$
f(1) \leq I_{f}(Q, P) \leq f(0)+f^{*}(0) \quad \forall Q, P \in \mathcal{P} .
$$

In the first inequality, equality holds if / iff $Q=P$. The latter provided $f$ is strictly convex at 1 .
In the second, equality holds if / iff $Q \perp P$. The latter provided $\tilde{f}(0)=$ $f(0)+f^{*}(0)<\infty$.

Remark 5: In order to exclude the trivial case $I_{f}(Q, P) \equiv f(1)$ we will assume from now on that $f \in \mathcal{F}$ is not trivial, i.e. it satisfies $\tilde{f}(0)-f(1)>0$.

## Measures of Similarity ${ }^{1}$

In this case we concentrate on the first inequality. The difference $I_{f}(Q, P)-$ $f(1)$ is a quantity which compares the given testing problem $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{P}^{2}$ with the least informative testing problem. These quantities are therefore appropriate for applications where the two probability distributions are or get very close.

In order that $I_{f}(Q, P)$ fulfils the basic property (M1) of a measure of similarity, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{f}(Q, P) \geq 0 \quad \text { with equality iff } \quad Q=P \tag{M1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$f$ needs to have the properties $(\mathrm{i}, 0) \quad f(1)=0$ and $(\mathrm{i}, 1) \quad f$ is strictly convex at 1 .

Given a function $f \in \mathcal{F}$, property (i, 0 ) can easily be achieved by setting $f(u):=f(u)-f(1)$. Hence we will assume $f \in \mathcal{F}_{0}$ without loss of generality.

## Measures of (approximate) Orthogonality

In this case we concentrate on the second inequality. The difference $\tilde{f}(0)-$ $I_{f}(Q, P)$ is a quantity which compares the given testing problem $(P, Q) \in$

[^0]$\mathcal{P}^{2}$ with the most informative testing problem. These quantities are therefore appropriate for applications where the two probability distributions are or get nearly orthogonal.

To ensure that this difference exists we have to assume $\tilde{f}(0)<\infty$ and hence $f(0)<\infty$ and $f^{*}(0)<\infty$.

We attribute to such a (convex) function $f \in \mathcal{F}$ the concave function $g:[0, \infty) \mapsto[0, \infty)$ given by

$$
g(u)=f(0)+u \cdot f^{*}(0)-f(u),
$$

which - obviously - satisfies $g(0)=g^{*}(0)=0, g(1)=\tilde{f}(0)-f(1)$ and is monotone increasing, and define

$$
I_{g}(Q, P)=\sum_{x \in \Omega} p(x) g\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right)
$$

Then owing to $I_{g}(Q, P)=\tilde{f}(0)-I_{f}(Q, P)$ our 'Measure of Orthogonality' can be expressed in terms of $I_{g}(Q, P)$ more appropriately.

For all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ satisfying $0<\tilde{f}(0)-f(1)<\infty$ the quantity $I_{g}(Q, P)$ is defined and fulfils the basic property $(\mathrm{O})$ of a measure of orthogonality, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{g}(Q, P) \geq 0 \quad \text { with equality iff } \quad Q \perp P \tag{O}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 6: It is important to note that both types of measures have their specific applications, whereby many applications of the 'Measures of Similarity' rely heavily on the convexity of the function $f$, whereas those of the 'Measures of Orthogonality' rely heavily on the concavity of the function $g$.

## 3 CLASSES OF $f$-DIVERGENCES

In this section we present some of the more intensively studied classes of $f$ divergences in terms of their convex functions $f$. The historic references are intended to give some hints as to their making.

Some of these and further $f$-divergences have also been investigated by members of the RGMIA. The paper by Barnett, Cerone, Dragomir \& Sofo (2002) may serve as but one example.

## (I) The class of $\chi^{\alpha}$-divergences

Total Variation Distance

$$
f(u)=|u-1|
$$

K. Pearson (1900)

$$
\chi^{2}(u)=(u-1)^{2}
$$

Kagan (1963), Vajda (1973), Boekee (1977)

$$
\chi^{\alpha}(u)=|u-1|^{\alpha}, \alpha \geq 1
$$

## (II) Dichotomy Class

Kullback \& Leibler (1951)

$$
f(u)=u \ln (u)
$$

Likelihood Disparity

$$
f^{*}(u)=-\ln (u)
$$

K. Pearson (1900)

$$
\chi^{2}(u)=(u-1)^{2}
$$

Neyman (1949)

$$
\left(\chi^{2}\right)^{*}(u)=\frac{(u-1)^{2}}{u}
$$

Liese \& Vajda (1987)

$$
f^{\alpha}(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
u-1-\ln u & \text { for } & \alpha=0 \\
\frac{\alpha u+1-\alpha-u^{\alpha}}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} & \text { for } & \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0,1\} \\
1-u+u \ln u & \text { for } \quad \alpha=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Read \& Cressie (1988): $f_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{u^{\lambda+1}-1}{\lambda(\lambda+1)}$ with $\lambda=\alpha-1 \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{-1,0\}$
Remark 7: According to Feldman (1972, for $\alpha \in(0,1)$ ) and Leidinger (1996, for the general case) this class of $f$-divergences is characterized by the dichotomy with respect to testing problems.

## (II') Symmetrized Dichotomy Class

Jeffreys (1946)

$$
\tilde{f}(u)=(u-1) \ln (u)
$$

Csiszár \& Fischer (1962)

$$
\begin{gathered}
f^{(s)}(u)=1+u-\left(u^{s}+u^{1-s}\right), 0<s<1 \\
\tilde{f}^{(s)}(u)= \begin{cases}(u-1) \ln (u) & \text { for } \quad s=1 \\
\frac{1+u-\left(u^{s}+u^{1-s}\right)}{s(1-s)} & \text { for } \quad s \in(0,1) \cup(1, \infty)\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

(III) Matusita's Divergences

Matusita (1954)

$$
f^{\frac{1}{2}}(u)=(\sqrt{u}-1)^{2}
$$

Matusita (1964), Boekee (1977)

$$
f^{\alpha}(u)=\left|u^{\alpha}-1\right|^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, 0<\alpha \leq 1
$$

## Renyi's Divergences ${ }^{2}$

(Hellinger (1909): $\left.g^{\frac{1}{2}}(u)=\sqrt{u}\right)$
Bhattacharyya (1946)

$$
-\ln \left(\sum_{x \in \Omega} \sqrt{p(x) q(x)}\right)
$$

Chernoff (1952)

$$
-\min _{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \ln \left(\sum_{x \in \Omega} p(x)\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right)^{\alpha}\right)
$$

Renyi (1961)

$$
R_{\alpha}(Q, P)= \begin{cases}\sum_{x \in \Omega} q(x) \ln \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right) & \text { for } \quad \alpha=1 \\ \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \ln \left(\sum_{x \in \Omega} p(x)\left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right)^{\alpha}\right) & \text { for } \quad \alpha \in(0, \infty) \backslash\{1\}\end{cases}
$$

(IV) Elementary Divergences

Österreicher \& Feldman (1981)

$$
f_{t}(u)=\max (u-t, 0), t \geq 0
$$

(V) Puri-Vincze Divergences

Le Cam (1986), Topsøe (1999)

$$
\Phi_{2}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{(1-u)^{2}}{u+1}
$$

Puri \& Vincze (1990), Kafka, Österreicher \& Vincze (1989)

$$
\Phi_{k}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{|1-u|^{k}}{(u+1)^{k-1}}, k \geq 1
$$

(VI) Divergences of Arimoto-type

Perimeter Divergence: Österreicher (1982), Reschenhofer \& Bomze (1991)

$$
f(u)=\sqrt{1+u^{2}}-\frac{1+u}{\sqrt{2}}
$$

[^1]Perimeter-type Divergences: Österreicher (1996)

$$
f_{p}(u)= \begin{cases}\left(1+u^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}-2^{\frac{1}{p}-1}(1+u) & \text { for } p \in(1, \infty) \\ \frac{|1-u|}{2} & \text { for } \quad p=\infty\end{cases}
$$

Österreicher \& Vajda (1997)

$$
f_{\beta}(u)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{1-1 / \beta}\left[\left(1+u^{\beta}\right)^{1 / \beta}-2^{1 / \beta-1}(1+u)\right] & \text { if } \beta \in(0, \infty) \backslash\{1\} \\ (1+u) \ln (2)+u \ln (u)-(1+u) \ln (1+u) & \text { if } \beta=1 \\ |1-u| / 2 & \text { if } \beta=\infty\end{cases}
$$

Remark 8: Lin (1991) proposed his $f$-divergence in terms of the convex function

$$
f(u)=\ln (2)+u \ln \left(\frac{u}{1+u}\right)
$$

Owing to

$$
\tilde{f}(u)=f(u)+f^{*}(u)=(1+u) \ln (2)+u \ln (u)-(1+u) \ln (1+u)
$$

Lin's (in this way) symmetrized $f$-divergence equals our special case $\beta=1$.

## 4 BASIC PROPERTIES (Part 2: Axiomatic)

Characterization Theorem (Csiszár, 1974): Given a mapping $I: \mathcal{P}^{2} \mapsto$ $(-\infty, \infty]$ then the following two statements are equivalent
(*) $\quad I$ is an $f$-divergence
i.e. there exists an $f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $I(Q, P)=I_{f}(Q, P) \quad \forall(P, Q) \in \mathcal{P}^{2}$
$(* *) I$ satisfies the following three properties.
(a) $I(Q, P)$ is invariant under permutation of $\Omega$,
(b) Let $\mathcal{A}=\left(A_{i}, i \geq 1\right)$ be a partition of $\Omega$ and let

$$
P_{\mathcal{A}}=\left(P\left(A_{i}\right), i \geq 1\right) \quad \text { and } \quad Q_{\mathcal{A}}=\left(Q\left(A_{i}\right), i \geq 1\right)
$$

be the restrictions of the probability distributions $P$ and $Q$ to $\mathcal{A}$. Then

$$
I(Q, P) \geq I\left(Q_{\mathcal{A}}, P_{\mathcal{A}}\right)
$$

with equality holding if $Q\left(A_{i}\right) \times p(x)=P\left(A_{i}\right) \times q(x) \quad \forall x \in A_{i}, i \geq 1$ and
(c) Let $P_{1}, P_{2}$ and $Q_{1}, Q_{2}$ probability distributions on $\Omega$. Then
$I\left(\alpha P_{1}+(1-\alpha) P_{2}, \alpha Q_{1}+(1-\alpha) Q_{2}\right) \leq \alpha I\left(P_{1}, Q_{1}\right)+(1-\alpha) I\left(P_{2}, Q_{2}\right)$.

Remark 9: a) Since the proof of the direction $(*) \Rightarrow(* *)$ will be an immediate consequence of the Representation Theorem (Österreicher \& Feldman, 1982) we skip it here and present, instead, a proof of the direction $(* *) \Rightarrow(*)$ under the assumption that all probabilities are positive.
b) The properties (b) and/or (c) are crucial for many applications of $f$ divergences. We will concentrate on the applications of $f$-divergences in a later talk.

Proof of the direction $(* *) \Rightarrow(*)$ : Consequences of (a):
Let $P=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}\right), Q=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{m}\right)$ such that $\{x \in \Omega: p(x) q(x)>0\}=$ $\Omega$. Then (a) implies that there exists a function $v:(0, \infty)^{2} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
I(Q, P)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} v\left(p_{i}, q_{i}\right)
$$

We have to show

$$
q f^{*}\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)=q v\left(1, \frac{p}{q}\right)=v(p, q)=p v\left(\frac{q}{p}, 1\right)=p f\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) \quad \forall 0<p, q<1
$$

Consequences of (b):
Let $m \geq 2,1 \leq r \leq m$ and $0<t<\frac{m}{r}$ and let $\Omega=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}, x_{r+1}\right\}$. Furthermore let

$$
P_{r}=\left(\frac{1}{m}, \ldots, \frac{1}{m}, 1-\frac{r}{m}\right), \underset{\sim}{Q_{r}}=\left(\frac{t}{m}, \ldots, \frac{t}{m}, 1-t \frac{r}{m}\right), A=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right\} \text { and }
$$

$$
\mathcal{A}=\left\{A,\left\{x_{r+1}\right\}, \emptyset, \Omega\right\}, \quad \tilde{P}_{r}=\left(\frac{r}{m}, 1-\frac{r}{m}\right), \tilde{Q}_{r}=\left(t \frac{r}{m}, 1-t \frac{r}{m}\right) . \text { Then, owing }
$$

to

$$
Q(A) \cdot p(x)=t \frac{r}{m^{2}}=Q(A) \cdot p(x) \quad \forall x \in A
$$

(b) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =I\left(\tilde{Q}_{r}, \tilde{P}_{r}\right)-I\left(P_{r}, Q_{r}\right)= \\
& =\left[v\left(\frac{r}{m}, t \frac{r}{m}\right)+v\left(1-\frac{r}{m}, 1-t \frac{r}{m}\right)\right]-\left[\sum_{i=1}^{r} v\left(\frac{1}{m}, t \frac{1}{m}\right)+v\left(1-\frac{r}{m}, 1-t \frac{r}{m}\right)\right] \\
& =v\left(\frac{r}{m}, t \frac{r}{m}\right)-r v\left(\frac{1}{m}, t \frac{1}{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
v\left(\frac{r}{m}, t \frac{r}{m}\right)=r v\left(\frac{1}{m}, t \frac{1}{m}\right)
$$

For $r=m$ this yields $v\left(\frac{1}{m}, t \frac{1}{m}\right)=\frac{1}{m} v(1, t)$ and consequently

$$
v\left(\frac{r}{m}, t \frac{r}{m}\right)=\frac{r}{m} r(1, t)
$$

Therefore it holds

$$
v(p, q)=p r\left(1, \frac{q}{p}\right)
$$

for $p=\frac{r}{m}$ and $q=t \frac{r}{m}$ and all $0<t<\frac{m}{r}, 1 \leq r \leq m, m \geq 2$.
Consequences of (c):
Let $0<x, y<1,0<p<x, 0<q<y$ and $p, q, x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$. Furthermore let $\Omega=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}, P_{1}=(p, x-p, 1-x), P_{2}=(x-p, p, 1-x)$,
$Q_{1}=(q, y-q, 1-y), Q_{2}=(y-q, q, 1-y)$ and finally $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$. Then
$\alpha P_{1}+(1-\alpha) P_{2}=\frac{P_{1}+P_{2}}{2}=\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}, 1-x\right) \quad$ and $\quad \alpha Q_{1}+(1-\alpha) Q_{2}=\frac{Q_{1}+Q_{2}}{2}=\left(\frac{y}{2}, \frac{y}{2}, 1-y\right)$ and hence (c) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta= \alpha I\left(P_{1}, Q_{1}\right)+(1-\alpha) I\left(P_{2}, Q_{2}\right)-I\left(\alpha P_{1}+(1-\alpha) P_{2}, \alpha Q_{1}+(1-\alpha) Q_{2}\right) \\
&= \frac{I\left(P_{1}, Q_{1}\right)+I\left(P_{2}, Q_{2}\right)}{2}-I\left(\frac{P_{1}+P_{2}}{2}, \frac{Q_{1}+Q_{2}}{2}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left[p v\left(1, \frac{q}{p}\right)+(x-p) v\left(1, \frac{y-q}{x-p}\right)+(1-x) v\left(1, \frac{1-y}{1-x}\right)+\right. \\
&\left.+(x-p) v\left(1, \frac{y-q}{x-p}\right)+p v\left(1, \frac{q}{p}\right)+(1-x) v\left(1, \frac{1-y}{1-x}\right)\right]- \\
&-\left[x v\left(1, \frac{y}{x}\right)+(1-x) v\left(1, \frac{1-y}{1-x}\right)\right] \\
&= p v\left(1, \frac{q}{p}\right)+(x-p) v\left(1, \frac{y-q}{x-p}\right)-x v\left(1, \frac{y}{x}\right) \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and, after dividing by $x$,

$$
\frac{p}{x} v\left(1, \frac{q}{p}\right)+\frac{x-p}{x} v\left(1, \frac{y-q}{x-p}\right) \geq v\left(1, \frac{y}{x}\right) .
$$

In view of $\frac{p}{x} \frac{q}{p}+\frac{x-p}{x} \frac{y-q}{x-p}=\frac{y}{x}$ the convexity of the function

$$
f:(0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Q} \mapsto \mathbb{R} \text { defined by } f(u)=v(1, u)
$$

is verified. Let $f$ also denote the continuous extension of this convex function from $(0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ to $(0, \infty)$. Then $f$ is convex and fulfils, due to its continuity,

$$
v(p, q)=\operatorname{pr}\left(1, \frac{q}{p}\right)=p f\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)
$$

for all $0<p, q$. By setting $f^{*}(u)=v(u, 1)$ we similarly obtain

$$
v(p, q)=q r\left(\frac{p}{q}, 1\right)=q f^{*}\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)
$$

for all $0<p, q$.
Remark 10: By this approach the introduction of the $*$-conjugate $f^{*}$ of a convex function $f$, defined by

$$
f^{*}(u) \equiv u f\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)
$$

is straightforward.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The notions 'Measures of Similarity' and 'Measures of Orthogonality', which are not common in literature, are intended to distinguish between the two major types of applications of $f$-divergences.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Note that this class doesn't belong to the family of $f$-divergences and the functions $g^{\alpha}(u)=u^{\alpha}, \alpha \in(0,1)$ are concave.

