

## *The Syntax of German* by H.Haider

CORRIGENDA

LAST UPDATE JAN. 2012

p.16 second line above 4.2: OV (instead of VO)

p. 61, Fn. 13: replace “[Ur[aufführ]<sub>V</sub>ung]<sub>N</sub>” by “[Ur[[aufführ]<sub>V</sub>ung]<sub>N</sub>”

p. 117, Fn. 33, to be replaced by:

The situation in Dutch is analogous to German (Haider 2008), modulo the recruitment of ‘*er*’ (there) or the co-occurrence with ‘*dat*’. These data have been checked with native informants in Antwerp.

- i) Ik weet niet, welke wijn *er wie* bestelde  
I know not which wine there who ordered
- ii) Ik weet niet, welke wijn *dat wie* bestelde  
I know not which wine that<sub>C°</sub> who ordered

p. 182, example (15): missing glosses

- (15) a. just-now has somebody<sub>NOM</sub> an injured-person<sub>DAT</sub> a physician<sub>ACC</sub> procured
- (15) b. just-now has somebody<sub>NOM</sub> a physician<sub>ACC</sub> an injured-person<sub>DAT</sub> procured
- (15) c. just-now has somebody<sub>NOM</sub> a physician<sub>ACC</sub> an injured-person<sub>DAT</sub> procured

p. 257, second paragraph, last line: replace “Haider (2001b)” by “Haider (2001a)”

p.278, last paragraph: replace (8b) by (8c)

p.288 fn 23: replace infinitivum by infinitivus

p. 289, ex. (5b): zu fragen ]-]brauchen wird

p.289, Fn. concerning W. Huber: replace ‘permissive’ by ‘non-causative’

p.319 after example (7): Wöllstein-Leisten (2001)

p. 338, Fn. 60, second line: replace “VO language” by “OV language”

p. 341 Paragraph after (4): It forbids a left daughter

p. 351 replace 2x ‘reconstruction’ by ‘restructuring’ (i.e. in line 23 and 26)

p. 352 replace 2x ‘reconstruction’ by ‘restructuring’ (i.e. in line 1 and immediately above ex. (4)).

**Sorry! – If you have found any other suspects, please let me know.**